



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

#15-5192

KURT MADSEN

APPELLANT

V.

WILLIAM SMITH ^{CHAPTER 13 CHAPTER 77} APPELLEE.
* SEE TITLE 18 ^{CHAPTER 115 AND CHAPTER 96}

MOTION FOR STAY OF COURT OF INCOMPETENT
JURISDICTION PROCEEDINGS AND IMMUNITY
AS "PERSON[S]" UNDER 4TH AND 5TH AMENDMENT
FROM BEING A "PARTY" TO INVOLUNTARY

SERVITUDE, WITHIN THE UNITED STATES AS
ABOLISHED BY
~~PROVIDED UNDER~~ THE 13TH AMENDMENT! AND

DEFINED WITHIN THE TRAFFICING VICTIMS
PROTECTION ACT OF 2000, OR TO UNREASONABLE
SEARCH AND SEIZURE ~~AND~~ NOR ISSUANCE OF NCIC 'WARRANT'
WITHOUT 4TH AMENDMENT PROTECTIONS.

APPELLEE IS PROFITING OFF THE ABUSE OF
LEGAL PROCESS BY THE CORPORATION COURT

OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA AND THE TRAITORS*
* THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE @ JOHN F. KENNEDY BUILDING SHOULD PROSECUTE
AT 555 4TH AVE ALSO CJA CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD!

BY MEMBERS OF THE LOCAL BAR. ! SEE 18 USC 371

NOTE: 6TH AMENDMENT "POWER" OF "ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL" COMES
INTO "PLAY" ONLY AFTER 4TH 5TH DUE PROCESS OF PERSON!

233C
#7101
(b)

1 OF 16

UNDER 1 USC 204;

"IN ALL COURTS, TRIBUNALS, AND PUBLIC OFFICES OF THE UNITED STATES ..."

"(1) ... THE CODE OF LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES. ... SHALL ... ESTABLISH PRIMA FACIE THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, GENERAL AND PERMANENT IN THEIR NATURE" ... "WHENEVER TITLES OF SUCH CODE SHALL HAVE BEEN ENACTED INTO POSITIVE LAW THE TEXT THEREOF SHALL BE LEGAL EVIDENCE OF THE LAWS THEREIN CONTAINED, IN ALL COURTS OF THE UNITED STATES"...

22 USC 7102 (6) TEXT DEFINES INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE
SEE "CONDITIONS" IN NOA-2243 "TRUE CAUSE"
TITLE 18 HAS BEEN ENACTED INTO "POSITIVE LAW".

THE TEXT OF 18 USC 3182^{*} IS HEREBY SUBMITTED AS "LEGAL EVIDENCE", MOREOVER, SINCE THE APPELLANT WAS AND IS BEING "DEPRIVED OF LIFE" GIVING LIBERTY "WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW" AN ESSENTIAL ELEMENT CONTAINED WITHIN THE 5TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS WHICH ENCOMPASSES THE ENTIRE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES, AS ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2 ESTABLISHES IS THE "SUPREME LAW OF
*AS IS 18 USC 241-242- AND "GOOD BEHAVIOUR" POSITIVE LAWS AS "SO HELP ME GOD" TEXT,

22 USC
§7101(b)
20F(16)

THE LAND" (NOTE: SEE 22 USC § 7101 (b) (8) (10) ^{DEFINES -} "THE ENEMY WEATHER" ^{(12) (13) (14) (16)})
 BEFORE WE ANALYZE IT LETS BREAK DOWN THE RELEVANT
 "TEXT" OF 18 USC 3182, AS IT RELATES TO THE APPELLANT
 WHO WAS "UNREASONABLY" SEIZED AND WAS AND CURRENTLY
 IS "HELD TO ANSWER" "AS A FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE," UNDER
 3182 (NOTE: IT IS THE APPELLANT-APPLICANTS POSITION! THE CORPORATION OF D.C.
 IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AS IT RELATES TO HIS LIBERTY AND CHECKS AND BALANCES
 NOR IS THE CORPORATION CONSTITUTIONALLY CAPABLE OF BEING THE GOVERNMENT!)
 "WHENEVER THE EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY OF ANY STATE ...

DEMANDS ANY PERSON AS A FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE, OF THE
 EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY OF [ANY] ... DISTRICT, ... TO WHICH
 SUCH PERSON HAS FLED, AND (PRODUCES A COPY OF AN
 INDICTMENT FOUND OR AN AFFIDAVIT MADE BEFORE A
 MAGISTRATE [UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE] OF THE STATE ..")
 "CHARGING THE PERSON DEMANDED WITH HAVING COMMITTED
 TREASON, FELONY, OR OTHER CRIME, CERTIFIED ~~BY~~ AS
 AUTHENTIC BY THE GOVERNOR" FROM WHENCE PERSON "CHARGED
 HAS FLED"

THE DOCUMENTS "CERTIFIED AS AUTHENTIC BY THE GOVERNOR"
 ARE CONTAINED IN THE NOTICE OF APPEAL.

HOWEVER, THEY DO NOT MEET THE CRITERIA ESTABLISHED
 BY CONGRESS TO RECEIVE "FULL FAITH AND CREDIT" IN THE
 DISTRICT, UNDER ARTICLE 4 SECTION 1 CLAUSE 1 OR
 TO ESTABLISH "JURISDICTION OF THE CRIME" UNDER
 ARTICLE 4 SECTION 1 CLAUSE 2 AND SEVERAL OTHERS, 22 USC

DESPITE THE 13TH AMENDMENT, AND THE FACT THE DISTRICT,
IS NOT A STATE, BUT THE SEAT OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE
UNITED STATES.

THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
INCLUDING APPELLANT ^{LEE} ~~LEE~~ ACT AS THOUGH APPELLANT WHO
WAS "HELD TO SERVICE" UNDER THE LAWS OF THE ENEMY
WITHIN, WHO HAS INVADDED WASHINGTON, CAN JUST BE
KIDNAPPED AT ANYTIME VIA NCIC 'WARRANTS' ENTERED BY
THE ENEMY WITHIN, WHICH HE HAS ESCAPED THE INVOLUNTARY
SERVITUDE ONLY TO BE FURTHER VICTIMIZED BY THE
CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA WHO HAS
INVADDED THE 10 MILE SQUARE AREA OF ARTICLE 1
SECTION 8 CLAUSE 17, AND CREATED AN ILLUSION
OF LEGAL AUTHORITY.

UNDER THE REMAINING "TEXT" OF 3182.

"THE EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY OF THE...DISTRICT,...TO WHICH
SUCH PERSON HAS FLED SHALL CAUSE HIM TO BE ARRESTED
AND SECURED,"

MOST RECENTLY ON NOVEMBER 17, 2015 THE UNITED STATES
SECRET SERVICE, CAUSED THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT "TO
BE ARRESTED" AND "SECURED" IN VIOLATION OF THE TVPA.
THE 4TH, 5TH AMENDMENTS AND 18 USC 3182

22 USC
5701(b)
4 OF 16

THE "EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY" IS THE CURRENT PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES UNDER ARTICLE 2.^①

THE NEXT RELEVANT "TEXT" IN 3182.

"SHALL CAUSE THE FUGITIVE TO BE DELIVERED TO SUCH AGENT WHEN HE SHALL APPEAR. IF NO SUCH AGENT APPEARS WITHIN THIRTY DAYS FROM THE TIME OF ARREST, THE PRISONER MAY BE DISCHARGED."

THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, AND APPEAREE HAVE OVER-POWERED THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES, IN THE 10 MILE SQUARE AREA OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 CLAUSE 17. CONGRESS SHALL HAVE THE POWER...

"TO EXERCISE EXCLUSIVE LEGISLATION IN ALL CASES WHATSOEVER, OVER SUCH DISTRICT... AND EXERCISE LIKE AUTHORITY OVER... NEEDFUL BUILDINGS."

ARTICLE 3 SECTION 2 CLAUSE 1

"THE JUDICIAL POWER SHALL EXTEND TO ALL CASES, IN LAW AND EQUITY, ARISING UNDER THIS CONSTITUTION, THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES... UNDER THEIR AUTHORITY ... TO CONTROVERSIES TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES SHALL BE A PARTY..."^① HE LIVES IN THE "WHITE HOUSE" IN THE DISTRICT.

22 USC
37101(b)
50F16

IN UNITED STATES V. KURT MADSEN, 2015 FUG 16000
THE UNITED STATES IS A PARTY, AND UNDER 18 USC 3182
THE SECRET SERVICE WHICH PROTECTS THE EXECUTIVE
AUTHORITY CAUSED THE ARREST OF THE APPELLANT-APPEALANT.
ON THE GROUNDS OF THE CANADIAN EMBASSY.

ALTHOUGH THE UNITED STATES AND THE SEVERAL STATES IS
A CAPITALISTIC SOCIETY, PRIVATIZATION OF FUNDAMENTAL
GOVERNMENT FUNCTIONS I.E.

LEGISLATIVE POWER / AUTHORITY

EXECUTIVE POWER / AUTHORITY

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT POWER / AUTHORITY

IF NOT GOVERNED BY THE UNITED STATES OR ONE OF
THE SEVERAL STATES VIOLATES THE CONCEPT OF OUR
CONSTITUTION* AND THE CHARTERS OF FREEDOM, THE
POWER IS NOT SUBJECTED TO CAPITALIZATION BY A CORPORATION,¹
THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
HAS AN ILLUSION OF LEGAL AUTHORITY.

HOWEVER BACK TO 18 USC 3182.

THE FINAL TWO SENTENCES OF THE TEXT, IS LEGAL
EVIDENCE THAT THE CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR
CAUSING "TO BE ARRESTED" WERE MET, AS PROTECTED BY THE
4TH AMENDMENT "AND SECURED" IN THE TEXT OF 3182
*TREASON UPON IT AND AN INVASION-INSURRECTION AND REBELLION
AGAINST THE GENUINE GOVERNMENT.

TOGETHER WITH "AND PRODUCES A COPY OF AN INDICTMENT FOUND" IS LEGAL EVIDENCE THE CRITERIA OF THE 5TH AMENDMENT WAS ALSO MET BY THE DEMANDING EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY; "AND SECURED" COULD BE CONSTRUED AS PARAMETERIA TO "HELD TO ANSWER"

HOWEVER, BEFORE WE BREAK DOWN THE 5TH, LETS LOOK AT THE 4TH AMENDMENT.

WHICH IS VIOLATED DAY IN AND DAY OUT BY THE ENEMY WITHIN WHO ENTERED THE 'WARRANT' INTO NCIC WITHOUT ANY PROBABLE CAUSE, OR "SUPPORTED BY OATH OR AFFIRMATION" WHICH THE ENEMY WITHIN IN WASHINGTON HAS FAILED UNDER 4 USC 101 ANOTHER POSITIVE LAW LEGAL EVIDENCE HEREBY SUBMITTED, TO SUPPORT OUR UNIONS UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, ONLY SUPPORTING THE DESTRUCTION OF IT, INSURRECTION!

MOREOVER, AS THE APPELLANT DISCOVERED ON DECEMBER 2, 2015, THE APPELLEE'S EMPLOYEES WHOM HAVE THE DECEPTION OF A GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY ARE ALSO EMPLOYEES "OFFICERS" OF THE ENEMY WITHIN, WITH ORIGINS TO TEAMSTERS UNION LOCAL 1714 THEY ALSO HAVE NOT, NOR ~~DO~~ ^{HAVE}, TAKEN AN OATH IN SUPPORT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, YET PREY UPON THE POSTERITY OF PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES MAINLY THE EMANCIPATED PEOPLE-PERSONS POSTERITY, FOR ⁵⁺ GENERATIONS NOW.

THE TEXT OF 18 USC 3182 PROVIDE "LEGAL EVIDENCE OF THE LAWS THEREIN CONTAINED" OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL REQUIREMENTS OF ENTERING WARRANTS FOR ACCUSATIONS OF "TREASON, FELONY, OR OTHER CRIME" AS THE TEXT OF THE LEGAL EVIDENCE INDICATES, AN "INDICTMENT FOUND" OR "AN AFFIDAVIT MADE BEFORE A MAGISTRATE" IS A CORRELATION OR REFERENCE-INFERENCE TO ~~THE~~ DUE PROCESS OF LAW OF THE 4TH AND 5TH AMENDMENTS, WHICH MUST BE MET BEFORE NCIC WARRANT!

4TH AMENDMENT - PART 1

"THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS, HOUSES, PAPERS, AND EFFECTS, AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEARCHES AND SEIZURES, SHALL NOT BE VIOLATED," *

NOTE: "FACETIME" CAMERA - IN APPENDIX B - VS. FACE-TO-FACE OR EFFECTS OF "APPLE" HEY STEVE IS IT CONSTITUTIONAL? OH NO "ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL"!
THANKS STEVE

PERHAPS SINCE OFFICERS OF THE COURT AND MEMBERS OF THE BAR ARE ~~IN~~ SOME CASES NOT SEARCHED, AND IN ALL CASES THEIR ^{LI STEVE} "SMART-PHONE" AND PORTABLE-COMPUTER ARE NOT SEIZED UPON ENTRY INTO THE COURT-HOUSE AT 333 CONSTITUTION AVE NW. IT MIGHT BE DIFFICULT TO GRASP THE EFFECTS OF HAVING YOUR EFFECTS SEIZED, THEN REQUIRED TO FILE "PAPERS" WITH THE COURT.

NOTE: THE CORPORATION IS NOT THE "GOVERNMENT" OF ART ~~1~~ SEC. 8 CL 17 OR THE 1ST AMENDMENT, HOWEVER, APPELLANT TRIED TO FILE "GRIEVANCES" SEE APPENDIX C

LET'S MAKE SOMETHING CRYSTAL CLEAR, EACH TIME THE

APPELLANT WAS "SEARCHED" BY HAVING A MILITARY TRAINED,

* THE CORPORATION OF D.C. AND THE 3182 "EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY" OF THE "DISTRICT" ARE VIOLATORS OF THE "SUPREME LAW"

NOT ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 CLAUSE 15-16 MALITA TRAINED, RUN HIS NAME - OR "SEARCH" FOR HIM IN THE NCIC DATABASE IT WAS UNREASONABLE, MOREOVER, SINCE THE "INFORMATION" VIOLATED THE NEXT PHASE OF THE 4TH AMENDMENT AND WAS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY ENTERED BY THE ENEMY WITHIN THE SEIZURE OF APPELLANT-APPLICANT WAS FAR FROM "REASONABLE" IT WAS TREASONABLE, SINCE HIS ARREST GIVES AID AND COMFORT TO THE ENEMY WITHIN.

"SHALL NOT BE VIOLATED" IS TEXTUAL EVIDENCE OF THE 4TH AMENDMENT-

WHY IS IT VIOLATED IN THE DISTRICT, DAY IN AND DAY OUT THROUGHOUT THE NATIONS CAPITAL? AND BY THE ENEMY WITHIN IN INVADERS CITIES!

4TH AMENDMENT PART 2

"AND NO WARRANTS SHALL ISSUE, BUT UPON PROBABLE CAUSE, SUPPORTED BY OATH OR AFFIRMATION, AND PARTICULARLY DESCRIBING THE PLACE TO BE SEARCHED, AND THE PERSONS OR THINGS TO BE SEIZED."

MOMENTARILY REVERTING BACK TO APPELLANTS INITIAL APPLICATION, WHEREBY A COPY OF THE \$39³² WAS SEIZED BY THE CORPORATION, WHICH HELPED ~~DEVELOP~~

9/16

SUSPEND THE ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 CLAUSE 2 PRIVILEGE
OF WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS, DUE TO THE INABILITY
TO ACCESS APPLICANTS \$500.

PERHAPS A FORM OF PAYBACK FOR THE \$1000 PERSONS LOST
THROUGH SECTION 4 OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT,

GETTING BACK ON COURSE. THE NCIC WARRANT DOES
NOT MEET THE CRITERIA OF THE 4TH AMENDMENT.

AS SEEN IN THE NOA AND IN SUBSEQUENT PLEADINGS
MOREOVER, AS SHALL BE SHEWN IN A 28 USC 2243

6. MOTION FOR CERTIFICATION OF TRUE CAUSE FOR
DETENTION V. TRAITORS AT THE 555 4TH STREET N.W. BAR

ADDITIONALLY, THE NCIC 'WARRANT' DID NOT PERMIT THE
SEIZURE OF APPELLANT-APPLICANTS EFFECTS, WHICH WERE
"UNREASONABLE," AS EMBODIED IN THE 4TH AMENDMENT.

THE SECRET SERVICE VIOLATED THE SUPREME LAW OF THE
LAND. (SEE APPENDIX A FOR "EFFECTS")

THE EFFECTS OF HAVING MY CLASSES SEIZED IS A FORM
OF "CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT" PROHIBITED BY THE
8TH AMENDMENT, I AM "NEAR SIGNED" BY A LONG—

THE EFFECTS OF HAVING MY LAPTOP SEIZED ARE THE SAME

AS ENTERING THE COURT-HOUSE, EXCEPT THE ABRIDGED COMPUTER ACCESS,
IN THE LAW LIBRARY SEE NOTE ON 11/13/15 8:20 AM IN CIRCUIT LAW LIBRARY LOG
10 OF 16

THE TEXT OF 3182 SUGGESTS AS A PREPONDERANCE OF LEGAL EVIDENCE "AND PRODUCES A COPY OF AN INDICTMENT FOUND", THAT THE ARTICLE 4 SECTION 4 GUARANTEE OF A REPUBLICAN FORM OF GOVERNMENT WAS MET BY THE DEMANDING EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY, AND THE PERSON IS "DULY ACCUSED" AS THE TEXT OF 18 USC 3192 IS ALSO HEREBY INTRODUCED AS LEGAL EVIDENCE.

AN "INDICTMENT FOUND" IS A "TRUE BILL" OBTAINED THROUGH THE PEOPLE GOVERNED AS EMBODIED IN THE 5TH AMENDMENT; "DULY ACCUSED" = 5TH =

"NO PERSON SHALL BE HELD TO ANSWER FOR A CAPITOL, OR OTHERWISE INFAMOUS CRIME, UNLESS, ON A PRESENTMENT OR INDICTMENT OF A GRAND JURY^{*}, IT IMMEDIATELY ADDS "EXCEPT" ALL IMMATERIAL TO THE CASE THEN, ADDS "OR PUBLIC DANGER"; ALSO IMMATERIAL APPELLANT WAS NOT A DANGER TO THE PUBLIC AS ERRONEOUSLY ACCUSED OR A "PUBLIC DANGER" WHEN UNCONSTITUTIONALLY SEIZED. THE ENEMY ~~WOMAN~~ IS A PUBLIC DANGER !!!

THE APPELLANT APPLICANT HAS PREVIOUSLY BROKEN DOWN THE ELEMENTS OF THE TEXT AS IT RELATES TO "CAPITOL" BEING ERRONEOUSLY DEFINED IN EX PARTE WILSON (1899) AS THE PUNISHMENT PHASE, OR DEATH.

11 of 16

THE LEGAL EVIDENCE TEXT OF 3182

"CHARGING THE PERSON DEMANDED WITH HAVING COMMITTED TREASON, FELONY OR OTHER CRIME"

SUPPORTS THE FACT ANY "OTHER CRIME" ENTERED INTO THE NCIC, AS WAS "CERTIFIED AS AUTHENTIC BY THE GOVERNOR" CONSTITUTES AN "OTHERWISE INFAMOUS CRIME"

WHEREBY, THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT IS IMMUNE UNLESS AND EXCEPT AS INDICATED IN THE ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS EMBODIED IN THE 5TH AMENDMENT, AND THE PROTECTIONS OF THE 4TH AMENDMENT.

IF WE LOOK AT THE CONNECTING WORD NOR CONTAINED IN THE 5TH AMENDMENT, (FOUR TIMES,) "NO PERSON SHALL BE..." "NOR SHALL ANY PERSON..." "NOR SHALL BE COMPELLED..." "NOR BE DEPRIVED OF LIFE, LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY, WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW..."

PLEASE REFER TO THE ~~PRESENT~~ - BY ~~THE~~ THE HONORABLE JUSTICE JOHN MARSHALL HARLAN IN HURTADO V. CALIFORNIA 110 U.S. 516 (1884) IN REGARDS TO: "NOR SHALL PRIVATE PROPERTY BE TAKEN FOR PUBLIC USE, WITHOUT JUST COMPENSATION."

SEVERAL "ONE SUPREME COURT" INTERPRETATIONS HAVE "INCORPORATED" ALL CONNECTING ELEMENTS OF THE 5TH AMENDMENT, OR THE NOR FACTORS, TO PEOPLE AND PERSONS WITHIN THE SEVERAL UNITED STATES.

ANOTHER NOR FACTOR IS CONTAINED IN THE 13TH AMENDMENT.

"NEITHER SLAVERY NOR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, EXCEPT AS A PUNISHMENT FOR CRIME WHEREOF THE PARTY SHALL HAVE BEEN DULY CONVICTED, SHALL EXIST WITHIN THE UNITED STATES, OR ANY PLACE SUBJECT TO THEIR JURISDICTION"

THROUGH THE TRAFFICKING VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT OF 2000 CONGRESS INTENDED TO ENFORCE THE ARTICLE.

AS THE EVENTS OF SEPTEMBER 29, 2014 RELATE TO THE APPEAL AND APPLICATION AND THIS MOTION THE TEXT OF 18 USC 1201 IS HEREBY SUBMITTED AS LEGAL EVIDENCE.

1201 (a) "WHOEVER UNLAWFULLY SEIZES, CONFINES,.. AND HOLDS... OR "OTHERWISE ANY PERSON" (1) THE PERSON IS WILLFULLY TRANSPORTED IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER THE PERSON WAS ALIVE,..

22 USC
§ 7101
(b)
(13) OF (16)

18 USC 3182 "INCORPORATED" 18 USC 3195 THE TEXT OF WHICH IS SUBMITTED AS LEGAL EVIDENCE.

POINT BEING THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA IS NOT INCORPORATED INTO THE TEXT, ONLY RECEIVING GOVERNMENT=~~PUBLIC~~ FUNDS FROM VIOLATING 18 USC 3182 AND OTHER POSITIVE LAWS - LIKE RECO AND THE TVPA OF 2000, CONTAINED IN THE TEXT OF 18 USC 1589, WHICH IS ALSO HEREBY SUBMITTED AS LEGAL EVIDENCE, AS APPELLANT-~~APPEALANT~~-VICTIM WAS UNABLE TO OBTAIN A COPY OF THE TRAFFICKING VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT OF 2000 AT APPELLEE'S ABRIDGED LAWLESS LIBRARY,

"1589(C)(1) THE TERM "ABUSE OR THREATENED ABUSE OF LAW OR LEGAL PROCESS" MEANS THE USE OR THREATENED USE OF LAW OR LEGAL PROCESS, WHETHER ADMINISTRATIVE, CIVIL, OR CRIMINAL, IN ANY MANNER OR FOR ANY PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE LAW WAS NOT DESIGNED, IN ORDER TO EXERT PRESSURE ON ANOTHER PERSON TO CAUSE THAT PERSON TO TAKE SOME ACTION OR REFRAIN FROM TAKING SOME ACTION." TRAVELING 2,800-MILES, 18 USC 3182 IS NOT DESIGNED TO BE USED BY A CAPITALISTIC CORPORATION.

ON AUGUST-SEPTEMBER 2014, THE CORPORATION COURT ABUSED THE LAW AND LEGAL PROCESS BY ~~DESTROYING~~ AN APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AND THE

14 OF 16

B

ACTUAL IDENTIFICATION! DOCUMENTS VIOLATING 18 USC 1592
IN THE COURSE OF VIOLATING 18 USC 1589

CONCLUSION

IT IS ABSURD TO ALLOW THE CORPORATION OF D.C. TO
INFILTRATE THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES AND ALLOW
THE ABUSE OF LEGAL PROCESS AND DUE PROCESS OF LAW
AND CITED "POSITIVE LAW" AND THE "SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND"

THE APPELLANT SHOULD BE GRANTED A "STAY" JAN 2015 FUG #10000
MOREOVER BE IMMUNE FROM SEIZURE VIA NCIC 'WARRANT'
WITHOUT THE DUE PROCESS OF LAW ASSOCIATED
AND ARTICULATED HEREIN.

LASTLY UNDER RULE 23 THE APPELLEE, SHOULD BE BANISHED
FROM TRANSFERRING CUSTODY, AS HE SHOULD BE BANISHED FROM
HAVEN'S "CUSTODY" OF APPELLANT WHO SELF-EMANCIPATED
ONLY TO BE A PARTY TO APPELLEE'S INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE
IMPLICATED UPON MASSIVE AMOUNTS OF EMANCIPATED
PEOPLE OF THE DISTRICT BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE
UNION OF THE UNITED STATES, NOT THE CURRENT PUPPET^①
ALTHOUGH THE ENEMY WHETHER, ELIMINATED LINCOLN
THEY DID NOT ELIMINATE THE LIBERTY OF THE
① NO RELATION TO EMANCIPATED PEOPLES POSTERITY.

150A6

PEOPLE EMANCIPATED BY THEM NOR THE ABOLISHED
ENJOYMENT OF THE 13TH AMENDMENT.

AS OF TODAY DECEMBER 7, 2015. THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT
IS THE ONLY WHITE-CAUCASIAN PERSON AMONG THE
160 PEOPLE OF NORTHWEST 3.

AT LEAST 150 ARE THE POSTERITY OF THE ARTICLE
1 SECTION 9 CLAUSE 1 "IMPORTED PEOPLE" OR THOSE
FINALLY EMANCIPATED AS INDICATED IN SECTION 1
4 OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT. ~~THE PEOPLE WHO~~
~~ARE PERMANENTLY "IMPORTED" AND BEING~~

ALL THE PEOPLE WITHIN THIS "HISPA BUILDING"
OR THE ADJACENT OPERATED BY THE "CORRECTIONS
CORPORATION OF AMERICA." OR ANY OTHER PEOPLE
INCARCERATED IN THE INCARCERATION INDUSTRY
OPERATED BY THE ENEMY WITHIN ARE ENTITLED
TO THE PROTECTIONS OF THE SUPREME LAWS
OF THE LAND ANYTHING LESS IS AN ABUSE
OF LEGAL PROCESS AND VIOLATES THE

TRAFFICKING & VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT OF
2000, THE ENEMY WITHIN IS NOT ENTITLED TO INVASIONS!
OR ENTRY INTO NCIC DATA CENTER - VIA TERMINAL.

RESPECTFULLY DECEMBER 7, 2015

"OTHERWISE INFAMOUS"
HELD BY THE ENEMY WITHIN!

Kurt Madsen 16 of 16
KURT MADSEN

APPENDIX A

PRISONER'S PROPERTY RECEIPT

Booking Element	CCN	Date	Time
Arrest	15183500	11/17/15	0220

Defendant's Name

Kirk madson

Social Security Number

N/A

Address (Included form D-1's 163)

1-1-425 2nd St NW D.C.

Charge

Warrent

Arrest Number

W254915453

Arresting Officer

Crozier

Badge No.

1565

Org. Element

Searching Officer

Sgt Wells

Badge No.

272

Org. Element

THIS PROPERTY WILL BE HELD AT THIS UNIT FOR 90 DAYS. IF THE PROPERTY IS NOT CLAIMED, THE PROPERTY WILL BE CONSIDERED ABANDONED AND DISPOSED OF IN ACCORDANCE TO LAW. YOU MAY AUTHORIZE A THIRD PARTY TO PICK UP YOUR PROPERTY BY COMPLETING ITEMS 1, 2, AND 3 BELOW.

1) Name

2) Address

3) Defendant's Signature

PROPERTY INVENTORY

Cash \$	0	One HP Laptop in case
		One Hand towel
		Four white t-shirt
		Power cord for HP laptop computer
		Pair of white socks plus one single sock
		Deodorant stick
		Toothbrush
		Toothpaste
		Glasses
		Cell phone Samsung
		Credit cards
		Misc. papers
		one lighter
		Pail mail cigarettes
		Candy bar style
Station Clerk	Signature	Badge No.
Property Book	N.O. 2308	Page No.
		362

Distribution: 1. Org. Element 2. Prisoner

PD Form 58 Rev. 3/08

Appendix B



visitas de Dias FERIADOS

Horas Especiales de Visitas en Dias Feriados: Dia de acción de Gracias 2015

Este Dia de acción de Gracias, el Departamento de Correcciones llevará a cabo Horas especiales para las Visitas en el Centro de Visitas por Video (localizado en el antiguo Hospital General de DC).

En vez de las Horas regulares ofrecidas, El Centro de Visitas estará abierto desde **8:00AM hasta 4:00PM** — solo el 26 de noviembre del 2015.

NOTA: Las visitas no se encontrará disponible en las ubicaciones satélites.



“No Phone Calls during Worship hours”



DOC
THE DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
INMATE GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE
RETURN OF GRIEVANCE FORM**

TO BE COMPLETED BY INMATE
GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR
GRIEVANCE NUMBER:

TO: INMATE NAME AND DOC NUMBER <i>Hurt Madser 345-108</i>		FACILITY: <i>PDF</i>	DATE OF GRIEVANCE:
HOUSING ASSIGNMENT: <i>20-2 Cell 13</i>			
DATE GRIEVANCE RECEIVED: <i>11/23/15</i>		DATE GRIEVANCE RETURNED: <i>11/23/15</i>	

THE ATTACHED GRIEVANCE IS BEING RETURNED TO YOU BECAUSE YOU HAVE FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES FOR POLICY PP 4030.1, "INMATE GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES." THIS GRIEVANCE IS BEING RETURNED FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

☒ No indication you have attempted to resolve this grievance informally. If you have attempted to resolve this grievance informally, please include the required information regarding the action taken and the response received. If you did not attempt to resolve this grievance informally, you have five (5) working days from the date below to attempt to do so, otherwise this grievance will not be considered.

☐ This grievance concerns a Classification or Disciplinary Hearing action. These types of actions are to be appealed through their own appeal process and not through the grievance process.

☐ There is no indication that you were personally affected by a Department or facility action or policy/procedure.

☐ This grievance appears to be on behalf of a group and group grievances are not permitted.

☐ This grievance is not signed and/or dated and/or does not include your commitment name and DOC number.

☐ This grievance contains multiple issues. Grievances are to address only one (1) issue unless there is a direct relationship between multiple issues. You may submit separate grievances for the separate issues.

☐ This grievance is not legible, understandable, presented in a courteous manner or contains excessive legal jargon.

☐ This grievance concerns an issue that cannot be resolved by the Department of Correction because the issue is beyond the authority of the Department. This issue may be addressed to: _____

☐ This grievance/appeal was not submitted within the five (5) day time frame. Unless you can show just reason(s) for this delay, this grievance/appeal will not be reviewed.

☐ The issue in this grievance was reviewed and addressed previously in Grievance # _____

☐ This grievance exceeds the number of active grievances that you are allowed to have in the system (five (5)). To proceed with this grievance, you must withdraw at least one (1) currently pending grievance.

☐ Other: _____

PRINTED NAME OF INMATE GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR <i>[Signature]</i>	SIGNATURE OF INMATE GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR: <i>[Signature]</i>	DATE OF RESPONSE: <i>11/23/15</i>
--	--	--------------------------------------

If you wish to proceed with this grievance, you have five (5) working days from the date below to attempt to do so, otherwise this grievance will not be considered.



DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
**INMATE INFORMAL
RESOLUTION/GRIEVANCE FORM**

TO BE COMPLETED BY INMATE
GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR
GRIEVANCE NUMBER:

#

STEP 1: INFORMAL RESOLUTION (To be completed by Inmate)

- Inmate has five (5) days after triggering incident to submit request.
- Submit this form to your housing unit Case Manager. Case Managers will respond to request within five (5) business days.

INMATE NAME: KURT MADSEN DCDC#: 345102 UNIT: SOUTH 2 CELL: 31 DATE: 11/21/2015

SELECT OFFICE/SERVICES NEEDED: FACILITY TRANSFER

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility Transfer
<input type="checkbox"/> Fire Safety and Sanitation
<input type="checkbox"/> Program and Activities
<input type="checkbox"/> Personal Hygiene
<input type="checkbox"/> Case Management Services
<input type="checkbox"/> Health Care
<input type="checkbox"/> Communications (mail, visits, telephone, legal) | <input type="checkbox"/> Property
<input type="checkbox"/> Sentence computation, jail credit, over detention
<input type="checkbox"/> Finance
<input type="checkbox"/> Rules and Regulations
<input type="checkbox"/> Staff Treatment
<input type="checkbox"/> Food Service
<input type="checkbox"/> Religious Services | <input type="checkbox"/> Facilities Management
<input type="checkbox"/> Discrimination
<input type="checkbox"/> Transportation
<input type="checkbox"/> Safety and Security
<input type="checkbox"/> Contract Facility

<input type="checkbox"/> Other |
|---|---|--|

PLEASE EXPLAIN NATURE OF COMPLAINT: ON 11/17/2015 UPON ENTRY INTO DC DOC I REQUESTED A COPY OF THE WARRANT UNDER DC CODE 6 HOURS OR 5000 INSTEAD OF THE FACTS THE DC DOC CLAIMED TO BE AS NCIC HIT AND OMITTED THE SECRET SERVICE INVOLVEMENT. I HAVE A CASE AGAINST WILLIAM SMITH, WHO ALONG WITH THE DICTIONARY T. FAUST IS IN VIOLATION OF THE TRAFFICKING VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT OF 2000 BY HIDING ME IN INMATE SIGNATURE: TE

SERVITUDE AND ABUSE OF LEGAL PROCESS WITHOUT CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY.

ACTION TAKEN:

CASE MANAGER SIGNATURE

DATE

- FOR INMATE:** Has this issue been resolved? YES ☐ or NO ☐ If not, please check the "NO" box and place this form in the housing unit IGP Box. Inmate has five (5) business days to submit this form to IGP Coordinator after response from Case Manager.

STEP 2: FORMAL INMATE GRIEVANCE (IGP COORDINATOR RESPONSE)

DATE RECEIVED: _____

- Inmate Grievance Coordinator will respond to grievance within fifteen (15) business days of receipt.

ACTION TAKEN:

INMATE GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR SIGNATURE

DATE

- Inmate has five (5) business days to submit a request for Administrative Remedy to the Warden.

STEP 3: WARDEN'S REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

- The Warden will issue a response to the grievance within fifteen (15) business days of receipt.

ACTION TAKEN:

WARDEN SIGNATURE

DATE

- An Appeal - Level I - Deputy Director form can be filed five (5) business days of receipt after response from the Warden. This grievance must be attached to the appeal.

DC Department of Corrections

PS 4030.1
Attachment A~~***EMERGENCY GRIEVANCE***~~
INMATE COMPLAINT - INFORMAL RESOLUTION

(See Reverse Side for More Information)

TO

DIRECTOR
~~Inmate Grievance Coordinator~~

Date

11/24/2015KURT MADSEN
Inmate Name (print clearly)345102
DCDC #SOUTH 2 | 31
Hsg. Unit Cell[Signature]
Signature**You Can Only Use This Form 7 Calendar Days After Filing A Request Slip And It Was Not Answered**

NATURE OF COMPLAINT

☒ Facility Transfer☐ Program and Activities☐ Case Management Services☐ Staff Treatment☐ Communications (mail, visits, telephone, legal)☐ Sentence computation, jail credit, over detention☐ Rules and Regulations☐ Discrimination☐ Safety and Security☐ Fire Safety and Sanitation☐ Personal Hygiene☐ Health Care☐ Food Service☐ Property☐ Finance☐ Facilities Management☐ Transportation☐ OtherDate you sent an inmate request slip or
asked for assistance11/17/2015

To Whom

DC DOC "BOOKING"

EXPLANATION/COMMENTS

AS INDICATED IN "ATTACHMENT B" DC DOC IS VIOLATING THE TVPA OF 2000 IF AN EMERGENCY CAN BE HAD FOR A PREA COMPLAINT THE TVPA IS ENTITLED TO THE SAME PROCESS PROTECTIONS AS THE CASE OF KURT MADSEN V. WASHINGTON STATE USDCDC 14-1716 AND APPEAL # 15-5192 DCDOC FAILED TO PROTECT MY RIGHTS IN SEPT-2014 IN FACT THE DCDOC NOW KNOWS THE WASHINGTON STATE DOC HAS ABUSED LEGAL PROCESS BY ENTERING UNCONSTITUTIONAL 'WARRANTS' INTO NCIC. HOWEVER, SINCE I AM A SOURCE FOR FINANCIAL GAIN TO THE DC DOC FOR MY INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE AS DEFINED IN THE TVPA OF 2000, THE ABUSE OF LEGAL PROCESS AND THE ABUSE BY THE DC DOC IN 2014 BY WITHHOLDING THE DELIVERY OF LEGAL MAIL AND ALLOWING MY RETURN WITHOUT PROPER OFFICIALS OR AUTHORITY TO A STATE OF PEONAGE FOR 13 mo + 13 days IS UNACCEPTABLE AND DCDOC CAN NOT BE TRUSTED.

FOR DOC COMPLETION BELOW THIS LINE

Assigned to _____ Date _____ Response Due _____

ACTION TAKEN

☐ Resolved☐ Not Resolved - Inmate advised of formal process

Print Name (Staff Name)

Signature

Date

Print Name (Inmate Name)

Signature

Date



DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
**INMATE INFORMAL
RESOLUTION/GRIEVANCE FORM**

TO BE COMPLETED BY INMATE
GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR
GRIEVANCE NUMBER:

#

STEP 1: INFORMAL RESOLUTION (To be completed by Inmate)

- Inmate has five (5) days after triggering incident to submit request.
- Submit this form to your housing unit Case Manager. Case Managers will respond to request within five (5) business days.

INMATE NAME: KURT MADSEN DCDC#: 345 UNIT: NK13 CELL: 51 DATE: 11/20/2015
102

SELECT OFFICE/SERVICES NEEDED:

-12/2/2015

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Facility Transfer <input type="radio"/> Fire Safety and Sanitation <input type="radio"/> Program and Activities <input type="radio"/> Personal Hygiene <input type="radio"/> Case Management Services <input type="radio"/> Health Care <input checked="" type="radio"/> Communications (mail, visits, telephone, legal) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Property <input type="radio"/> Sentence computation, jail credit, over detention <input type="radio"/> Finance <input type="radio"/> Rules and Regulations <input type="radio"/> Staff Treatment <input type="radio"/> Food Service <input type="radio"/> Religious Services | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> Facilities Management <input type="radio"/> Discrimination <input type="radio"/> Transportation <input type="radio"/> Safety and Security <input type="radio"/> Contract Facility <input type="radio"/> Other |
|--|--|--|

PLEASE EXPLAIN NATURE OF COMPLAINT: I HAVE BEEN HERE SINCE 11/17/2015, AND STILL HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO MAKE A LEGAL CALL. THE "CASE MANAGER" REFUSED ON 11/23-24. NOW SHE (EMERSON) HAS APPARENTLY QUIT OR RETIRED AND IS NOW SUCKING OFF THE PUBLIC FUNDS, VIA THE "CORPORATION" OF DC INVIOLATIONS OF THE ART, 1 SEC. 9 CL. 17 TO MILE SQUARE AREA. THE CORPORATION HAS UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONTROL OVER MY LIBERTY Inmate Signature: _____

*** FOR DOC COMPLETION BELOW THIS LINE ***

ACTION TAKEN:

CASE MANAGER SIGNATURE

DATE

- FOR INMATE:** Has this issue been resolved? YES ☐ or NO ☐ If not, please check the "NO" box and place this form in the housing unit IGP Box. Inmate has five (5) business days to submit this form to IGP Coordinator after response from Case Manager.

STEP 2: FORMAL INMATE GRIEVANCE (IGP COORDINATOR RESPONSE)

DATE RECEIVED: _____

- Inmate Grievance Coordinator will respond to grievance within fifteen (15) business days of receipt.

ACTION TAKEN:

INMATE GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR SIGNATURE

DATE

- Inmate has five (5) business days to submit a request for Administrative Remedy to the Warden.

STEP 3: WARDEN'S REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

- The Warden will issue a response to the grievance within fifteen (15) business days of receipt.

ACTION TAKEN:

WARDEN SIGNATURE

DATE

- An Appeal - Level I - Deputy Director form can be filed five (5) business days of receipt after response from the Warden. This grievance must be attached to the appeal.



DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
**INMATE INFORMAL
RESOLUTION/GRIEVANCE FORM**

TO BE COMPLETED BY INMATE
GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR
GRIEVANCE NUMBER:

#

STEP 1: INFORMAL RESOLUTION (To be completed by Inmate)

- Inmate has five (5) days after triggering incident to submit request.
- Submit this form to your housing unit Case Manager. Case Managers will respond to request within five (5) business days.

INMATE NAME: KURT MARSHEN DCDC#: 345102 UNIT: A113 CELL: 51 DATE: 11/25/2015

SELECT OFFICE/SERVICES NEEDED:

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Facility Transfer ○ Fire Safety and Sanitation ○ Program and Activities ○ Personal Hygiene ○ Case Management Services ○ Health Care <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Communications (mail, visits, telephone, legal) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Property ○ Sentence computation, jail credit, over detention ○ Finance ○ Rules and Regulations ○ Staff Treatment ○ Food Service ○ Religious Services | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Facilities Management ○ Discrimination ○ Transportation ○ Safety and Security ○ Contract Facility ○ Other |
|---|--|--|

PLEASE EXPLAIN NATURE OF COMPLAINT: RELATANCE UPON MY CAPTURE BY THE CORPORATION OF
IS AN NCIC WARRANT, THE WARRANT WAS ENTERED BY ONE OF YOUR COMRADES IN THE TEAM
INMATE - A1130 IT VIOLATES THE CONSTITUTION AS DOES THE SEIZURE OF MY
ELECTRONIC EFFECTS AND PAPERS. YOUR "CASE MANAGER" DOES NOT PRODUCE
THE ABANDONMENT OF SPEECH OR THE CONTRACT OF MY Inmate Signature: [Signature]
CELL CAPAGER! *** FOR DOC COMPLETION BELOW THIS LINE***

ACTION TAKEN:

CASE MANAGER SIGNATURE**DATE**

- **FOR INMATE:** Has this issue been resolved? YES ☐ or NO ☐ If not, please check the "NO" box and place this form in the housing unit IGP Box. Inmate has five (5) business days to submit this form to IGP Coordinator after response from Case Manager.

STEP 2: FORMAL INMATE GRIEVANCE (IGP COORDINATOR RESPONSE)**DATE RECEIVED:**

- Inmate Grievance Coordinator will respond to grievance within fifteen (15) business days of receipt.

ACTION TAKEN:

INMATE GRIEVANCE COORDINATOR SIGNATURE**DATE**

- Inmate has five (5) business days to submit a request for Administrative Remedy to the Warden.

STEP 3: WARDEN'S REQUEST FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

- The Warden will issue a response to the grievance within fifteen (15) business days of receipt.

ACTION TAKEN:

WARDEN SIGNATURE**DATE**

- An Appeal - Level 1 - Deputy Director form can be filed five (5) business days of receipt after response from the Warden. This grievance must be attached to the appeal.